

(Spl. - [H.C.A.S.](#) C.D., 78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

PANAJI – GOA
APPELLATE SIDE

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 97 OF 2005
IN PIL WRIT PETITION NO. 379 OF 2000

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Quorum
appearances, Court's orders, or directions and
Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Applicant in person.

Mr. S. Vahidulla, Addl. Government
Advocate for the respondents.

CORAM : A.P. LAVANDE &
N.A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 1st March, 2005.

P.C.

Heard the applicant who is
present in person and Mr. Vehidulla,
learned Addl. Govt. Advocate for the
respondents. By this application, the
applicant seeks several reliefs. PIL Writ
Petition No.379/2000 was disposed of
by this Court by Order dated 5.3.2001.
The Apex Court in the case of ***State of
Uttar Pradesh vs. Brahm Datt
Sharma and another*** ((1987) 2 SCC

179) has held that once writ petition is disposed of by the Court, the petitioner cannot seek further reliefs by filing miscellaneous applications. The ratio of the said Judgment in the case of ***State of Uttar Pradesh vs. Brahm Datt Sharma and another (supra)*** is clearly applicable in the present case. The applicant is, therefore, not entitled to the reliefs sought for, since the petition has already been disposed of by this Court by Order dated 5.3.2001.

2. In the result, therefore, the present application seeking the reliefs, is not maintainable and, as such, the same is dismissed with no order as to costs.

A.P. LAVANDE, J.

N.A. BRITTO,

ssm.

